



Presentation Outline

- What is the problem?
- What are we doing now?
- What can we do to improve our future?











But we've been saying it for years...

- DoD Digital Engineering Strategy says digital transformation will address challenges associated with complexity, uncertainty, and rapid change in deploying and using systems
- McKinsey recommends using a holistic and systematic analysis in making decisions on how and where to best deploy and maintain technologies and capabilities
- MITRE says U.S. needs better use of its existing resources to identify, protect, detect, respond to, and recover from network and supply chain threats – we must protect systems as much as we try to deploy them.



























<<domain>> Design: Design and Simulation

- **Use Case:** AI-driven tools assist in the design process by offering automated suggestions for material choices and design modifications based on desired product performance characteristics and regulatory compliance. AI can also simulate how a product will perform under various conditions, reducing the need for physical prototypes.
- **Benefits:** Speeds up the design process, reduces costs associated with physical prototyping, and improves product performance and compliance.
- Ready for Production?

<<domain>> Realize: Predictive Maintenance and Quality Control

- **Use Case:** Al algorithms analyze real-time data from production equipment to predict maintenance needs before failures occur, reducing downtime and maintenance costs. Similarly, AI can analyze product quality data to identify potential issues early, ensuring high-quality output.
- **Benefits:** Minimizes unplanned downtime, extends equipment life, and ensures consistent product quality.
- Ready for Production?

<<domain>> Realize: Supply Chain Optimization

- **Use Case:** AI models forecast demand more accurately by analyzing market trends, past sales data, and external factors like economic conditions or weather patterns. This enables more efficient inventory management and optimized production planning.
- **Benefits:** Reduces excess inventory costs, improves delivery times, and enhances responsiveness to market changes.
- Ready for Production?

<<domain>> Service: Post-Sale Product Monitoring and Feedback

- **Use Case:** Al tools analyze data gathered from product usage in the field to provide insights into how products perform over their lifespan, which can inform future design improvements and proactive customer service interventions.
- **Benefits:** Enhances product development with real user data, improves customer service, and supports predictive maintenance services.



With caveats

Closing Thoughts

- AI-driven PLM systems may enable rapid adaptation to market changes and technological advancements, fostering innovation through predictive analytics and personalized product development.
- It also introduces complexity in integration and potential information assurance concerns around data CIA* and decision-making transparency. It's crucial to approach AI integration with a balanced understanding of its capabilities and limitations
- Implementing AI effectively demands strong data governance, skilled talent, and continuous investment in technology upgrades. Organizations must manage these foundational elements before fully leveraging AI capabilities.

*CIA: Confidentiality, Integrity, Availability

Snapshot About Me Education

Ph.D., Industrial and Systems Engineering from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg VA

M.Eng., Engineering Management

from The Pennsylvania State University, University Park PA

B.S., Aeronautical & Astronautical Engineering

Minor in Political Science focused on Science and Technology policy from Purdue University, West Lafayette IN

Professional Experience

- Current: Research Engineer (VPR & ISR)
- 2014-2020: Program Manager, NIST
- 2005 to 2014, Aerospace Sector, Phoenix AZ
- Internationally known as the Model-Based Enterprise (MBE) Evangelist

More on LinkedIn





29

Slide 15 References

Conceptual Interoperability

- Tolk, A., & Muguira, J. A. (2003). The levels of conceptual interoperability model. Proceedings of the 2003 Fall Simulation Interoperability Workshop, 7, 1–11.
- https://www.sisostds.org/DesktopModules/Bring2mind/DMX/API/Entries/Download?Command=Core_Download&EntryId=24721&PortalId=0&TabId=105
- Wang, W., Tolk, A., & Wang, W. (2009). The Levels of Conceptual Interoperability Model: Applying Systems Engineering Principles to M&S. Proceedings of the 2009 Spring Simulation Multiconference. 1–9. https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.5555/1639809.1655398
- Helu, M., Sprock, T., Hartenstine, D., Venketesh, R., & Sobel, W. (2020). Scalable data pipeline architecture to support the industrial internet of things. CIRP Annals. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2020.04.006

Enterprise Connectivity

 Hedberg, T. D., Jr, Manas, B., & Camelio, J. A. (2020). Using graphs to link data across the product lifecycle for enabling smart manufacturing digital threads. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 20(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4044921

Linked Data

- Bernstein, W. Z., Hedberg, T. D., Jr, Helu, M., & Feeney, A. B. (2017). Contextualising manufacturing data for lifecycle decision-making. International Journal of Product Lifecycle Management, 10(4), 326. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPLM.2017.090328
- Hedberg, T. D., Jr, Feeney, A. B., Helu, M., & Camelio, J. A. (2017). Toward a Lifecycle Information Framework and Technology in Manufacturing. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 17(2), 021010. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4034132
- Hedberg, T. D., Jr, Manas, B., & Camelio, J. A. (2020). Using graphs to link data across the product lifecycle for enabling smart manufacturing digital threads. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 20(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4044921

Trust and Traceability

- Hedberg, T., Jr, Helu, M., Krima, S., & Feeney, A. B. (2020). Recommendations on Ensuring Traceability and Trustworthiness of Manufacturing-Related Data (AMS 300-10). National Institute of Standards and Technology. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.AMS.300-10
- Hedberg, T., Jr, Krima, S., & Camelio, J. A. (2019). Method for Enabling a Root of Trust in Support of Product Data Certification and Traceability. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 19(4), 041003. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4042839

Autonomous Knowledge Generation

Feng, S. C., Bernstein, W. Z., Hedberg, T., Jr, & Barnard Feeney, A. (2017). Toward Knowledge Management for Smart Manufacturing. Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering, 17(3), 031016. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4037178

Distributed Digital Twins

• Shao, G., & Helu, M. (2020). Framework for a digital twin in manufacturing: Scope and requirements. Manufacturing Letters, 24, 105–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2020.04.004

Disclaimer

Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, neither the DoD nor any of its employees make any warranty, expressed or implied, nor assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, product, or process included in this publication.

Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the ARLIS, the University of Maryland, or the DoD, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.